

$$(a) f(x|\theta, \phi) = \frac{1}{\theta\phi} \left(\frac{x}{\theta}\right)^{1-\phi}, \quad 0 \leq x \leq \theta, \theta > 0, 0 < \phi < 1$$

(a) Find a two dimensional sufficient statistic for (θ, ϕ)

The sample joint pdf of sample x is given by:

$$f(x|\theta, \phi) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\theta\phi} \left(\frac{x_i}{\theta}\right)^{1-\phi}, \quad 0 < x_i < \theta, 0 < \phi < 1, i=1, 2, \dots, n.$$

We can use the indicator function. $I_A(x)$ is the indicator function of the set A , that is, it is equal to 1 if $x \in A$ and equal to 0 otherwise. Now $0 < x_i < \theta, i=1, 2, \dots, n$ if and only iff $\min(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) > 0$ so we have:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x|\theta, \phi) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{1}{\theta\phi} \left(\frac{x_i}{\theta}\right)^{1-\phi} \right] I_{(0, \theta)}(x_i) \\ &= \frac{1}{(\theta\phi)^n} \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{x_i}{\theta}\right)^{1-\phi} \right\} I_{(-\infty, x)}(\theta) \\ &= \frac{1}{(\theta\phi)^n} \left\{ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{\theta} \right\} I_{(-\infty, x)}(\theta) \\ &= \frac{1}{(\theta\phi)^n} \left\{ \frac{n - n\phi}{\phi} \right\} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right\} I_{(-\infty, x)}(\theta) \end{aligned}$$

Since $f(x|\theta, \phi) = h(x) g(T(x)|\theta, \phi)$ where $h(x) = 1$ and

$$g(T(x)|\theta, \phi) = \frac{1}{(\theta\phi)^n} \left(\frac{n - n\phi}{\phi} \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i}{\theta} \right) I_{(-\infty, x)}(\theta),$$

$$T_1(x) = x_1, \quad T_2(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$$

Therefore, by the factorization theorem, $(x_1, \sum_{i=1}^n x_i)$ is a two dimensional sufficient statistic for (θ, ϕ)

(b) Show that the sufficient statistic in part (a) is also minimal sufficient or find a minimal sufficient statistic for (θ, ϕ)

\checkmark A sufficient statistic $T(x)$ is called a minimal sufficient statistic if, for any other sufficient statistic $T'(x)$, $T(x)$ is a function of $T'(x)$

To say that $T(x)$ is a function of $T'(x)$ simply means that if $T'(x) = T'(y)$ then $T(x) = T(y)$

$$f(x|\theta, \phi) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\theta\phi} \left(\frac{x_i}{\theta}\right)^{\frac{1-\phi}{\phi}}$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{\theta\phi}\right)^n \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i}{\theta} \frac{(1-\phi)^n}{\phi}$$

Now write

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i}{\theta} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i}{\bar{x}} + n \left(\frac{\bar{x}}{\theta}\right)$$

Form the ratio

$$\frac{f(x|\theta, \phi)}{f(y|\theta, \phi)} = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{\theta\phi}\right)^n \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i}{\theta} \frac{n(1-\phi)}{\phi}}{\left(\frac{1}{\theta\phi}\right)^n \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{y_i}{\theta} \frac{n(1-\phi)}{\phi}}$$

$$= \frac{\left(\frac{1}{\theta\phi}\right)^n \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i}{\bar{x}} + n \left(\frac{\bar{x}}{\theta}\right)}{\left(\frac{1}{\theta\phi}\right)^n \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{y_i}{\bar{y}} + n \left(\frac{\bar{y}}{\theta}\right)}$$

Clearly, this ratio is free of θ if and only if

$\bar{x} = \bar{y}$ we know that $T(x) = \bar{x}$ is a minimal sufficient statistic.

(c) Show that the density $T = X_n$ is given by

$$f_{X_n} = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{\theta^\phi} \left(\frac{x}{\theta}\right)^{\frac{n}{\phi}-1} & \text{for } 0 \leq x \leq \theta \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

By factorization theorem X_n is sufficient for θ

X_n has a pdf

$$f_{X_n}(x) = \frac{n}{\theta^\phi} \left(\frac{x}{\theta}\right)^{\frac{n}{\phi}-1}$$

For any g ,

$$E[g(X_n)] = \frac{n}{\theta^\phi} \int_0^\theta g(x) \left(\frac{x}{\theta}\right)^{\frac{n}{\phi}-1} dx = 0 \quad \text{all } \theta > 0$$

implies that

$$0 = \int_0^\theta g(x) \left(\frac{x}{\theta}\right)^{\frac{n}{\phi}-1} dx \quad \text{and} \quad \theta = \frac{d}{d\theta} \int_0^\theta g(x) \left(\frac{x}{\theta}\right)^{\frac{n}{\phi}-1} dx$$

$$\equiv g(\theta) \theta^{\frac{n}{\phi}-1}$$

$$= g(\theta) \left(\frac{1}{\theta}\right)^{\frac{n}{\phi}-1} \quad \text{all } \theta > 0$$

Thus $g(x) = 0$ for all $x > 0$ which means $P_\theta(g(X) = 0) = 1$ for all θ , hence X_n is also complete, therefore

$T = X_n$ is given by

$$f_{X_n} = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{\theta^\phi} \left(\frac{x}{\theta}\right)^{\frac{n}{\phi}-1} \\ 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{otherwise}$$

≠

(d) Show that X_n is sufficient and complete

A statistic $T(X)$ is sufficient for θ iff the conditional distribution of X given $T=t$ does not depend on θ .

A statistic $T(X)$ is complete iff for any g not depending on θ $E_\theta[g(X)] = 0$ for all $\theta \in \Theta$ implies $P_\theta(g(X) = 0) = 1 \forall \theta \in \Theta$.

$$0 = E_\theta[g(X)] = \sum_{x=0}^n g(x) \frac{1}{\theta \phi} \left(\frac{x}{\theta}\right)^{1-\phi}$$

$$= \frac{(1-\phi)^n}{\theta^n}$$

$$= \left(\frac{1-\phi}{\theta}\right)^n \sum_{x=0}^n \left(\frac{x}{\theta}\right)^{1-\phi} \quad \text{all } \theta \in (0, \infty)$$

Since the factor $\left(\frac{1-\phi}{\theta}\right)^n \neq 0$ we must have

$$0 = \sum_{x=0}^n g(x) \left(\frac{x}{\theta}\right)^{1-\phi} \quad \text{all } \phi > 0 = \frac{1}{\theta \phi}$$

- The last expression is a polynomial ϕ of degree n .

- For this polynomial to be 0 for all $\phi > 0$ it must be true that the coefficient of ϕ^x , which is $g(x) \frac{x}{\theta}$ is 0 for every x .

- This shows that $g(x) = 0$ for $x=0$ for $x=0, 1, \dots, n$

and hence $P_\theta(g(X) = 0) = 1$ for all θ . Therefore

X is sufficient and complete

#1

(e) Otherwise compute the UMVUE of θ

$$f(x|\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta^n} \left(\frac{x}{\theta}\right)^{1-\phi}$$

Suppose $V = \theta$

Since the sufficient and complete statistic X_n has the Lebesgue p.d.f

$$\left(\frac{1}{\theta^n}\right)^n \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{x_i}{\theta}\right)^{1-\phi} \right\} \mathbb{1}_{(0, \theta)}(x_i)$$

$$E X_n = \left(\frac{1}{\theta^n}\right)^n \int_0^\theta \frac{x^n}{\theta} dx = \frac{n}{n+1} \theta$$

Hence the unbiased estimator of θ is $(n+1) X_n / n$, which is the UMVUE.

Suppose that $V = g(\theta)$, where g is a differentiable function on $(0, \theta)$

An unbiased estimator $h(X_n)$ of V must satisfy

$$\theta^n g(\theta) = n \int_0^\theta h(x) x^{1-\phi} dx \quad \text{for all } \theta > 0$$

Differentiating both sides of the previous equation and applying the result of differentiation of an integral lead to

$$n \theta^{n-1} g(\theta) + \theta^n g'(\theta) = n h(\theta) \theta^{n-1}$$

Hence the UMVUE of V is $h(X_n) = g(X_n) + n' X_n g'$

In particular, if $V = \theta$, then UMVUE of θ is $(1+n^{-1}) X_n$.